RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE DEC 2 0 2005

In re patent application of:

John K. Eckl

Attorney Docket No.: F-278

Serial No.:09/903,500

Group Art Unit: 3622

Filed: July 12, 2001

Examiner: Myhre, James W.

For: BILLING SYSTEM WITH ELECTRONIC AND PRINTED

DISTRIBUTION

Date: December 20, 2005

## APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The appellant respectfully submits the following reply brief in response to the Examiner's Answer of October 31, 2005, in the appeal of the subject application. Appellant's corrected Brief was filed August 17, 2005, and the Notice of Appeal was filed on March 14, 2005, following a Final Office Action mailed December 14, 2004.

## **CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, fax no. (571) 273-8300.

On <u>December 20, 2005</u>
Date of Transmission

Amy Harvey Name,

dry Monrey

December 20, 2005 Date

## <u>Argument</u>

The arguments in the appellant's Brief and the Examiner's Answer focus on one central question: Does the Comensanas reference discose the claimed features whereby a recipient's delivery preferences are stored and used to determine the manner of delivery from a biller to the recipient?

The following facts about the disclosure of the Comesanas reference are not in dispute:

- (1) The debtor can sign an agreement authorizing the creditor to include a return transmittal charge on the invoice.
- (2) The invoice/payment transaction can occur by physical mail or electronic delivery.

The Examiner finds that the disputed claim terms (related to choosing a delivery method based on the recipient's stored "delivery preference") to be inherent based on the disclosure of items (1) and (2) in Comesanas. Accepting (1) and (2) as true, logic does not require that the "delivery preference" features be found inherent. However, in hindsight, and using Appellant's invention as a guide, it can be seen that it is quite reasonable to allow the debtor to express a preference as to the manner of delivery while signing the authorization agreement. However, as seductive and difficult to avoid as this approach may be, such hindsight reasoning is not permissible and cannot be used to support rejection of the claims.

An objective review of the Comesanas reference reveals that collection or use of recipient delivery preferences are not disclosed or suggested anywhere in the reference nor are such receipient delivery preferences inherent. Rather, as described in the initial briefing, only the creditor is ever described in Comesanas as having the ability to choose the manner of delivery. With the manner of delivery predetermined by the creditor, the debtor then has the ability to choose whether to authorize the transmittal charges. Other scenarios suggested by the Examiner are based solely on an incorrect finding of inherency that is neither logically nor factually supported. See for example the figures, where flowchart decisions about transmittal charges are all linked back to the

agreement. In contrast, a decision about electronic transmittal (see bottom of first sheet of figures) has no linkage to the agreement.

In the prior Board decision, the Board selected a representative claim in which the disputed "delivery preference" features were arguably not affirmatively recited. In the current set of claims that problem has been corrected, and the issue is now properly presented. As such, a finding in favor of allowing the appealed claims will not be in conflict with the Board's July 14, 2004 decision, and will avoid further appeal process before a Federal Court.

For the reasons advanced above, the Appellant respectfully submits that claim 12 and 14-36 are patentable. All briefing now being concluded, reversals of the rejections by the Examiner are respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted.

Michael J. Cummings

Reg. No. 46,650

Attorney for Appellant

Telephone (203) 924-3934